EXHIBIT 3

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION
4	
5	TN DD ANDONOUTING DADES N. J. D'I N. 10 I 00011
6	IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE PARTS Master File No. 12-md-02311 ANTITRUST LITIGATION Hon. Marianne O. Battani
7	/
8	CHARLIC CONFEDENCE / MORTON HEADINGS
9	STATUS CONFERENCE / MOTION HEARINGS
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARIANNE O. BATTANI United States District Judge
11	Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard
12	Detroit, Michigan Wednesday, January 28, 2015
13	APPEARANCES:
14	Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs:
15	
16	WILLIAM G. CALDES SPECTOR, ROSEMAN, KODROFF & WILLIS, P.C.
17	1818 Market Street, Suite 2500 Philadelphia, PA 19103
18	(215) 496-0300
19	MANUEL I DOMINICUEZ
20	MANUEL J. DOMINGUEZ COHEN MILSTEIN 3507 Kyoto Cardons Drive Suite 200
21	3507 Kyoto Gardens Drive, Suite 200 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410
22	(561) 578-6850
23	DAVID H. FINK
24	FINK & ASSOCIATES LAW 100 West Long Lake Road, Suite 111
25	Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 971-2500

Eugene Spector on behalf of direct purchasers.

I only wanted to say that we would like to participate in this process of defining what is in the subpoenas to the OEMs because that's the class that we represent, and we would like to have some view as to what is going on, what is going to be asked and maybe some input as to what might be in the interest of the OEMs with regard to that. We are in regular contact with them in any event and we would like to see what we can do to help move this process along so it works for all of us.

THE COURT: You can talk to counsel and participate in that. I don't think that's a problem. You all have to work together.

MS. SPECTOR: We have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Cherry?

MR. SPECTOR: Thank you.

MR. CHERRY: Your Honor, again, we can coordinate with the other defendants and try to do this in the most efficient manner possible, but it is — it will be very difficult to do this one time with the OEMs because we are talking about different products being purchased by them and they do have different divisions and different product groups that do that purchasing, it is not even the same people, it may be different data in different places we are seeking. The downstream data ought to all be the same, you know, the

```
cars coming from the auto manufacturers we can obtain that
 2
     one time and it will fit every case, but the purchasing may
 3
     be different and we can coordinate with the other defendants
     to be efficient as possible and minimize that but --
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                           Well, I think that's all that can be
 6
     asked, if you can coordinate those parts that make sense I
 7
     think you can do that. And, I mean, I wouldn't think you as
 8
     defendants would want to irritate your OEMs.
 9
              MR. CHERRY: Exactly, Your Honor, exactly.
                                                           And the
10
     idea of an arbitrary deadline, I think these things, as
11
     Ms. Sullivan mentioned, our deposition protocol, which took
12
     from February until now to get resolved, is this can't take
13
     six months or no schedule is going to stick.
                                                    I think --
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Let me --
15
              MR. CHERRY: -- whatever the deadline is we have 30
16
     days, some period of time --
17
              THE COURT:
                         Let me do this, I have given you
18
     45 days on the other one, I will give you 45 days on this one
19
           If you can do it sooner, wonderful. If you need to --
20
     if that's not suitable then you have an issue and you will
21
     have to bring that up before Mr. Esshaki.
22
              MR. CHERRY:
                           Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                            Your Honor, I apologize but just to
              MR. WILLIAMS:
24
     clarify, 45 days to do what? They -- I think that Mr. Cherry
25
     was saying was 45 days to actually serve the discovery.
```

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Robert L. Smith, Official Court Reporter of
4	the United States District Court, Eastern District of
5	Michigan, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28,
6	United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the
7	foregoing pages comprise a full, true and correct transcript
8	taken in the matter of AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION,
9	Case No. 12-02311, on Wednesday, January 28, 2015.
10	
11	
12	s/Robert L. Smith Robert L. Smith, RPR, CSR 5098
13	Federal Official Court Reporter United States District Court
14	Eastern District of Michigan
15	
16	
17	Date: 02/04/2015
18	Detroit, Michigan
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	